

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/741,680	12/15/2000	Vipin Samar	OR00-14001	6541	
22835	22835 7590 07/12/2004			EXAMINER	
22033	IGHAN & FLEMING	NGUYEN, CAM LINH T			
508 SECOND STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 201 DAVIS, CA	95616		2171	16	
			DATE MAILED: 07/12/200	14	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/741,680	SAMAR, VIPIN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	CamLinh Nguyen	2171			
The MAILING DATE of this communicati	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA* - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica*. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rition. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirly period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON we statute cause the application to become Af	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. IANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or					
	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice u	under <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.L	J. 11, 403 U.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 – 5, 7 – 13, 15 – 21, 23 – 48</u>	is/are pending in the application	1.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v	vithdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 – 5, 7 – 13, 15 – 21, 23 – 48</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P1O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received in	Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of		received in this National Stage			
application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action f		t received			
- See the attached detailed Office action (or a list of the contined copies fid				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2171

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 7-13, 15-21, 23-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Flaherty et al (U.S. 6,275,824) in view of Teijido et al (U.S. 2002/0053202).
- ♦ As per claim 1, 9, 17, 25, 30, 33, 38, 41, 46,

O'Flaherty discloses a system for control access data in a database comprising:

- "Receiving a command to perform an administrator function involving an object defined within the database system" (See Fig. 2A). The command can be made by type of users in the privileged classes (A, B, and C) (See col. 8, lines 46 col. 9, lines 35). "An object defined within the database system" corresponds to a table or a portion of the table in the database system.
- "Determining if the object is a sensitive object that is associated with security functions".

 O'Flaherty teaches that in order to access to the object, it must determine the sensitivity level of the information (Col. 7, lines 10 15), and the sensitivity level of a resource is simply a value. (See also fig. 4A- 4B, Teijido).

Art Unit: 2171

- "The sensitive object" corresponds to "a sensitive information portion 208" (col. 7, lines 15 in Fig. 2A,) where the "sensitive information portion 208" can include "a sensitive row contains sensitive data" see Fig. 2A.
- The sensitive object and only the sensitive object is encrypted in the database system.

 See col. 10, lines 49 57.
- "If the object is a sensitive object, and if the command is received from a normal system administrator, disallowing the administrative function" See col. 8, lines 46 61. The class A applications permits administrator performs administration functions in the data, therefore, it must check for user privileged for accessing these data (col. 8, lines 39 42). (See also fig. 4A- 4B, Teijido).
- "If the object is not a sensitive object, and if the command is received from an administrator who is not a security officer; allowing the administrative function to proceed" See col. 8, lines 62 col. 9, lines 35). (See also fig. 4A- 4B, Teijido).

O'Flaherty teaches a plurality of view of different users, including the administrator view.

O'Flaherty does not clearly teach that the database system has a plurality of administrators, and at least one of the plurality of administrators is a security officer who can perform administrative functions on sensitive objects.

However, Teijido, on the other hand, discloses a security system that comprises:

- "Plurality of administrators" page 5, paragraph 0065.
- "The sensitive object" See page 3, paragraph 0036, 0107.

Art Unit: 2171

- "Wherein at least one of the plurality of administrators is a security officer who can perform administrative functions on sensitive objects" See page 4, paragraph 0048 0049, page 5, paragraph 0065.
- "Wherein an administrator in the plurality of administrators who is not a security officer cannot become a sensitive user and thereby obtain access to sensitive objects indirectly"

 See page 4, paragraph 0048 0049, 0065 0067. Wherein, "an administrator in the plurality of administrators who is not a security officer" can be a library administrator, who is responsible for the configuration and management only. This type of administrators are not allowed to access to the security administrator level.
- "If the object is not a sensitive object, and if the command is received from an administrator who is not a security officer; allowing the administrative function to proceed" See fig. 4A B.
- "If the object is a sensitive object, and if the command is received from an administrator who is not a security officer, disallowing the administrative function" See Fig. 4A, element 66.

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to create different levels of administrators using the teaching of Teijido into the system of O'Flaherty rather than because the teaching of Teijido provides secure electronic access to the system using a Public Key Infracture/PKI (paragraph 0007, Teijido); the combination would protect the database more secure by using different administrator levels (paragraph 0048, Teijido).

◆ As per claim 2, 10, 18, 26, 34, 42, O'Flaherty discloses:

Art Unit: 2171

- "A request to perform an operation" corresponds to "a command to perform an administrative function" See col. 8, lines 39 61. (See also fig. 4A- 4B, Teijido).
- As per claim 3 5, 11 13, 19 21, 27 29, 35 37, 43 45, O'Flaherty discloses:

O'Flaherty teaches that the data in a given row is encrypted with an <u>encryption</u> code, or by providing each data field with a unique <u>encryption</u> number. Clearly, the administrator must decrypt the data in order to access it.

- ◆ As per claim 7, 15, 23, 31, 39, 47, O'Flaherty discloses:
 - "Allowing the security officer to perform the administrative function". See col. 8, lines 39 61. (See also fig. 4A- 4B, Teijido).
- ♦ As per claim 8, 16, 24, 32, 40, 48, O'Flaherty discloses:
 - O'Flaherty teaches about how to protect a sensitive data stored in the database.
 Therefore, the database must include a number of sensitive data items, and only specific sensitive users are allowed to access a given data item as shown in Fig. 2A, col. 8, lines 39 61.

Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - Scott Shurts (U.S. 5,572,673) discloses a secure multi-level system for executing stored procedures.
 - Fritch et al (U.S. 6,105,132) discloses a computer network graded authentication system and method.

Art Unit: 2171

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CamLinh Nguyen whose telephone number is 305-1951. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 308-1436. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LN

WAYNE AMSBURY PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER Page 6